

THE DESIGN OF DESIGN
Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., brooks@cs.unc.edu
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 27599-3175, USA



The Design of Design

Fred Brooks

University of North Carolina
at Chapel Hill

brooks@cs.unc.edu

1 ©FPB—74/00



Design

“To form a plan or scheme of,
to arrange or conceive in the mind...
for later execution.”

Oxford English Dictionary

2 ©FPB—74/00



W.A. Mozart

“Everything has been composed,
just not yet written down.”

Letter to Leopold Mozart, 1780

3 ©FPB—74/00



Why Study the Design Process?

- Can I design better by looking at design process?
- Can we better teach others to design?
- Can we better organize and manage design?
- Let’s limit ourselves to the design of systems

4 ©FPB—74/00



Sir Francis Bacon’s Reason

- New ideas would come about “by a connexion and transferring of the observations of one Arte, to the uses of another, when the experience of several misteries shall fall under consideration of one mans minde.”

The Two Books of the Proficiency and Advancement of Learning, Book 2, p 10, 1605

- Design process studied in architecture, mechanical engineering, and industrial design.
- What can we learn from them?

5 ©FPB—74/00



21st Century Design Issues

- I. Models of the design process
- II. Collaborative teams and solo/chief designers
- III. How to get *great* designs

6 ©FPB—74/00

THE DESIGN OF DESIGN

Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., brooks@cs.unc.edu
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 27599-3175, USA



I. How Engineers Think of Design

- Goal
- Desiderata
- (Non-linear) utility function
- Constraints, especially budget (not necessarily \$ cost)
- Design tree of decisions

UNTIL (design is "good enough") or (time has run out)
DO another design (to improve utility function)
UNTIL design is complete
WHILE design remains feasible,
make another design decision
END WHILE
Backtrack up design tree
Explore a path not searched before
END UNTIL
END DO
Take best design
END UNTIL

7 © FPB —74/00



What's Wrong with This Model?—1

- We don't really know the goal at first –
 - The hardest part of design is deciding *what* to design.
 - Often the most important function of the designer is helping the client decide what he wants.

8 © FPB —74/00



What's Wrong with This Model?—1

- We don't really know the goal at first –
- Here is where experts go wrong:
 - Miss fresh vision – e.g., minicomputer, microcomputer
 - Vision not high enough – e.g., OS/360 JCL

9 © FPB —74/00



OS/360 JCL – the Worst Language

- Done under my management
- One job language for all programming languages
- Like Assembler language, rather than PL/I, etc.
- But not exactly like Assembler
- Card-column dependent
- Too few verbs
- Declarations do verbish things, in the guise of parameters
- Awkward branching
- No clean iteration
- No clean subroutine call
- Basic problem was pedestrian vision
 - We did not see it as a schedule-time programming language at all, but as a "few control cards"
 - It was *not designed*, it just grew as needs appeared.

10 © FPB —74/00



What's Wrong with This Model?—2

- The desiderata and their weightings keep changing.
 - Donald Schön – "as one wrestles with the problem."
 - As one in fact *makes* the trade-offs, the weights change.
 - Sometimes one hits new opportunities.

11 © FPB —74/00



What's Wrong with This Model?—2

- The desiderata and their weightings keep changing.
- We usually don't know the design tree.

12 © FPB —74/00

THE DESIGN OF DESIGN

Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., brooks@cs.unc.edu



University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 27599-3175, USA



What's Wrong with This Model?—2

- The desiderata and their weightings keep changing.
- We usually don't know the design tree.
- The constraints keep changing.
 - Often by the ever-changing world.
 - Sometimes by total systems thinking, outside the box!

13 © 1996 — 7/4/99



Design Models

- The rational model is wrong—
doesn't describe what really goes on
 - But still the “consensus model” in engineering literature.
 - See for example, G.Pahl and W. Beitz, 1984
Engineering Design: A Systematic Approach

16 © 1996 — 7/4/99



Design Models

- The rational model is wrong—
doesn't describe what really goes on
- Most expert designers don't work that way

17 © 1996 — 7/4/99



Design Models

- The rational model is wrong—
doesn't describe what really goes on
- Most expert designers don't work that way
- It can give bizarre results
 - LHX helicopter functional specs

18 © 1996 — 7/4/99

THE DESIGN OF DESIGN

Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., brooks@cs.unc.edu

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 27599-3175, USA



Design Models

- The rational model is wrong— doesn't describe what really goes on
- Most expert designers don't work that way
- It can give bizarre results
- We have such a model in software engineering

19 © FFB — 7-4-00



The Waterfall Model is Dead Wrong

- Not how we buy a new building, or a new airplane
 - We pay for a *design phase*, approve a design, and contract for its implementation, or
 - A builder pays for a design phase, sells implementations

20 © FFB — 7-4-00



The Waterfall Model is Dead Wrong


- Not how we buy a new building, or a new airplane
- Based on spurious assumption that function and performance are what matters about software.
 - A naive notion from early days
 - Reliability, changeability, structure, testability

21 © FFB — 7-4-00



A Better Model — Co-evolution

- Model due to Maher, Cross
- The effective problem space evolves as the solution space evolves by being explored.



22 © FFB — 7-4-00



Evolutionary Software Development

1. Build a minimal working system.
 2. Try it with real users.
 3. Revise.
 4. Add function in small increments.
- Robust under changing desiderata and constraints.
 - Early testing exposes our inevitable mistakes.

23 © FFB — 7-4-00



II. Solo Design and Collaboration

- The design *team* is the 20th-century novelty.
- *Conceptual integrity* is the problem with this — *hard!*
- Design as “interdisciplinary negotiation”? NO!
- Mills' chief-programmer concept — a supported designer

24 © FFB — 7-4-00

THE DESIGN OF DESIGN

Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., brooks@cs.unc.edu

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 27599-3175, USA



A System Architect

- A *system architect*, for designs beyond one chief designer
- The architect: agent, approver, advocate for the *user*
- Detailed: Chapters 3-6 in *The Mythical Man-Month*

25 © 1996 -- 7-4-99



The Cathedral and the Bazaar

- Raymond's brilliant essays on Linux's process
- The bazaar is an evolutionary model
 - No committee design — each piece has conceptual integrity
 - Emphasizes early and *large-scale* testing
 - Marshalls many minds for fixing, not just testing
 - The market votes by adoption among alternatives
- Based on a gift \leftrightarrow prestige culture

26 © 1996 -- 7-4-99



The Cathedral and the Bazaar

- Raymond's brilliant essays on Linux's process
- The bazaar is an evolutionary model
- Based on a gift \leftrightarrow prestige culture
- Among people who are fed anyway
- Works when the builders are the clients
 - Know requirements from personal experience
- Is this how to do an air traffic control system?

27 © 1996 -- 7-4-99



Collaboration and Telecollaboration

- Collaboration is politically correct and fashionable.

28 © 1996 -- 7-4-99



Collaboration and Telecollaboration

- Collaboration is politically correct and fashionable.
- Telecollaboration is even more so.

29 © 1996 -- 7-4-99



Collaboration and Telecollaboration

- Collaboration is politically correct and fashionable.
- Telecollaboration is even more so.
- Much telecollaboration R & D is technology-pushed, not application-pulled.

30 © 1996 -- 7-4-99

THE DESIGN OF DESIGN
Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., brooks@cs.unc.edu
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 27599-3175, USA



Collaboration and Telecollaboration

- Collaboration is politically correct and fashionable.
- Telecollaboration is even more so.
- Much telecollaboration R & D is technology-pushed, not application-pulled.
- We need far more understanding of collaboration.

31 © FPB — 7/4/00



Design Collaboration

- Real design is always more complex than we imagine.
- E.g., fixtures for parts, tooling limitations, assembly

32 © FPB — 7/4/00



Design Collaboration

- Real design is always more complex than we imagine.
- E.g., fixtures for parts, tooling limitations, assembly
- Real design is hard to change — Design Change Control

33 © FPB — 7/4/00



Design Collaboration

- Real design is always more complex than we imagine.
- Design change control
- The cleaner the interfaces, the fewer errors.
 - Errors and rework are the big cost components.
 - Hence, *constrained* collaboration is most productive.

34 © FPB — 7/4/00



Design Collaboration

- Real design is always more complex than we imagine.
- Design change control
- The cleaner the interfaces, the fewer errors.
- Collaboration is no substitute for “the dreariness of labor and the loneliness of thought.”

35 © FPB — 7/4/00



When Does Collaboration Help?

- Determining needs from users
 - More minds —> more diverse questions

36 © FPB — 7/4/00

THE DESIGN OF DESIGN

Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., brooks@cs.unc.edu

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 27599-3175, USA



When Does Collaboration Help?

- Determining needs from users
- Conceptual exploration — radical alternatives

27 © FFB — 7-4-00



When Does Collaboration Help?

- Determining needs from users
- Conceptual exploration — radical alternatives
- *Not* conceptual design nor detailed design
 - Observe the great works of the human mind

28 © FFB — 7-4-00



When Does Collaboration Help?

- Determining needs from users
- Conceptual exploration — radical alternatives
- *Not* conceptual design nor detailed design
- Design reviews
 - Especially with different expertise
 - Need and exploit richer graphical representations

29 © FFB — 7-4-00



III. Great Designs

Fan Clubs	No Fan Clubs
Fortran	COBOL
VM/360	OS/360
Unix, Linux	Microsoft NT
Pascal	Algol
C	PL/I
Macintosh	PC
APL	Ada

40 © FFB — 7-4-00



Product Processes

- Within- vs. outside product-process;
What are product procedures for?

41 © FFB — 7-4-00



Product Processes

- Within-product-process vs. outside-product-process;
What are product procedures for?
- How to do great design *within* a product process?
- How to make a product process than encourages,
rather than inhibits, great designs?

42 © FFB — 7-4-00

THE DESIGN OF DESIGN

Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., brooks@cs.unc.edu

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 27599-3175, USA



Great Designs Come From Great Designers

- How does one do great designs *within* a product process?
- How to make a product process than encourages, rather than inhibits, great designs?
- Where elitism is proper
- Entrust design to a chief designer

43 © 1996 -- 7:4:00



Where Do Great Designers Come From?

- We have to grow them *deliberately*.
 - Recruit for design brilliance, not talk skills
 - Make the dual ladder real and honorable
 - Career planning and mentoring, as for managers
 - Planned experiences, studies, and rotations

44 © 1996 -- 7:4:00



Where Do Great Designers Come From?

- We have to grow them *deliberately*.
- We have to manage them *imaginatively*.
 - The John Cocke story

45 © 1996 -- 7:4:00



Where Do Great Designers Come From?

- We have to grow them *deliberately*.
- We have to manage them *imaginatively*.
- We have to protect them *fiercely*.
 - From managers
 - From managing

46 © 1996 -- 7:4:00



Growing Yourself as a Designer

- Design lots of things, and keep a notebook.
 - Da Vinci's *Notebooks*
- Reflect in writing on your design experiences.
- Study other documented designs.
 - Write reviews of tools, software, video games, etc.

• St. Paul's

47 © 1996 -- 7:4:00



The Great Designer

- "If you want to see his monument, look around."
- Some handiwork! SOD, hemoglobin, human visual system, earth as a life incubator

48 © 1996 -- 7:4:00

THE DESIGN OF DESIGN

Frederick P. Brooks, Jr., brooks@cs.unc.edu

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill 27599-3175, USA



The Great Designer

- “If you want to see his monument, look around.”
- Some handiwork! SOD, hemoglobin, human visual system, earth as a life incubator
- Good programmers don’t write sorts; they write sort generators.

49 © FPB — 2000



The Great Designer

- “If you want to see his monument, look around.”
- Some handiwork! SOD, hemoglobin, human visual system, earth as a life incubator
- Good programmers don’t write sorts; they write sort generators.
- Human characteristics: morality, failure, guilt, urge to atone, desire to worship
- The knowledge that matters most!

50 © FPB — 2000

