

Procedural Volumetric Cloud Modeling, Animation, and Real-time Techniques

David S. Ebert

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Purdue University
ebertd@purdue.edu

Overview

Proceduralism
Background
Modeling Gases



Overview

Cloud Modeling
Examples Using
Commercial Systems
Hardware Issues and
Real-Time Gases
Conclusion
Future Directions
for Research



Proceduralism: Advantages of Procedural Techniques

Flexibility
Parametric Control
Data Amplification
Procedural Abstraction - High Level Control
Complexity on Demand


- Inherently multi-resolution model
- Computational savings
- Ease of anti-aliasing



Background

Why Model Gases ?
Important Visual Characteristics
Rendering System Considerations

Why Model Gases ?

Visual Realism
Artistic Effects





Important Visual Characteristics

- Amorphous*
- Swirling*
- Attenuation of Light*
- Shadowing*
- Illumination*

Example: Fog

Rendering System Considerations:

Issues	My System
Volume Rendering Support	Scanline A-buffer w/ Volume Tracing
Illumination Issues	Low-albedo Illumination Model
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participating media - scatters, reflects, absorbs light • Low-albedo models (single scattering) • High-albedo models (multiple scattering) 	
Volume Shadowing	3D Table-based Shadowing
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fast, efficient • 10 to 15 times faster than ray-traced shadows
Modeling Capability	Procedural Volume Density Functions

Modeling Gases: Previous Approaches

Surface Approaches

- Hollow/flat objects
- Interaction problems
- Fast

Volume Approaches

- Greater realism, flexibility
- Slower

Volumetric Modeling Advantages

Accurate Shadowing

Realistic Illumination

Realistic Simulation of Natural Volumetric Phenomena (Clouds, Gases, Water, Fire)

Volumetric Procedural Modeling (VPM)

Basic VPM Primitives

- Any function of three-dimensions
- Stochastic:
 - Noise, turbulence, fBm
- Regular: implicit functions
 - Smooth blending
 - Useful primitives (spheres, cylinders, ellipsoids, skeletons)

Volumetric Procedural Gas Modeling

Turbulence-based Procedures

- Perlin's noise and turbulence functions

Shape Resulting Gas

- Simple mathematical functions

Defines Volume Density

Basic Gas Procedure

$$\text{Density} = (\text{turbulence}(\text{pnt}) * \text{density_scaling})^{\text{exponent}}$$


- Exponent typically 1.0 to 10.0

Gas Shaping Primitives

Power Function

Sine Function

Exponential Function



Steam Rising From a Teacup

Volume of Gas Over the Teacup

Basic Gas Procedure Used for Density



Steam Rising ...

Shape Gas Spherically

Shape Gas Vertically



Procedural Gas Animation

General Animation Approach

- Map screen space point to object space
- Move through gas space over time
- Evaluate density procedure
- Path direction produces opposite movement
- Path specified by
 - Helical paths
 - Combination of primitive flow field functions

Helical Paths

General Direction of Motion with Some Variation

Example of Downward Helical Path

- θ based on the frame number
- $x = \sin(\theta) * \text{radius1}$
- $z = \cos(\theta) * \text{radius2}$
- $y = -(\text{down_velocity} * \text{frame_number})$

Helical Path Effects

Steam Rising

- Downward helical path

Fog Rolling In

- Horizontal helical path

Combination of Simple Flow Simulations

Wind Effects

Flow Into an Opening

Wind Effects - Gentle Breeze

Helical Path (for upward swirling)

Spherical Attractor:


- Strength increases over time
- Moves volume of steam

Flow Into An Opening

Motion Created by

- Angle limited spherical attractor
- Angle limited spherical repulsor
- Linear attractor

Also Works for Hypertextures



Volumetric Cloud Modeling: Volumetric Procedural Implicit

Previous Volumetric Procedural Implicit Modeling

- Perlin: hypertextures
- Stam: fire modeling, clouds
- Kisacikoglu: gas plasma - [Sphere](#)

Previous Cloud Modeling

- Surface-based (Gardner)
- Fractal-based (Voss)
- Volume-based (Kajiya, Stam)

Volumetric Procedural Implicit Modeling

Two Tiered Approach

- Cloud macrostructure
 - Volumetrically rendered implicit primitives
- Cloud microstructure
 - Procedurally defined natural detail
 - Procedural volumetric density functions

Cloud Macrostructure


Primitive-Based Implicit Models

- Currently: spheres, cylinders, ellipsoids
- Wyvill's blending function

Ease of Specification, Animation, Global Deformation

- Easily controlled by particle system dynamics

Example Implicit Cloud



Cloud Microstructure

Volumetric Procedural Model

Built-in Multiresolution Model

Features:

- Main primitives: noise and turbulence
- Mathematical functions for shaping
- Natural controls

Simple Volumetric Procedural Model (VPM)

vpm(pnt)

- pnt = map pnt to procedural turbulence space
- turb = turbulence (pnt)
- density = pow(denseness*turb, wispieness)
- return(density)

Combined Model

Use Procedural Techniques to Perturb Sample Point


Calculate Implicit Density for Point

Calculate Procedural Density for Point

Blend These Densities

- $blend = blend\% * imp_density + (1 - blend\%) * proc_density * imp_density$

Shape With Math Functions





Stratus And Cirrus Cloud Effects

Stratus Clouds

- Use a few implicits to specify extent of layer
- Use procedural techniques for details
- Denser and less wispy

Cirrus Clouds

- Use implicits for each cloud or for global shape
- Thinner, less dense, wispier





Another Example (Henrik Wann Jensen)

Procedural Cloud Model Based on the Techniques Presented

- Generates a large number of points describing cloud density

Realistic Cloud and Environmental Illumination Using Photon Maps

Animation: Little Fluffy Clouds

- Cloud density is increased procedurally
- Sun rises, cloud layer forms, sun sets

Examples Using Commercial Systems: A/W Maya

Rendering:

- Volumetric cloud plug-in

Animation

- Cloud formation dynamics in MEL

Volumetric Cloud Plug-in

(Marlin Rowley, Vlad Korolev, David Ebert)

Prototype Volume Rendering Plug-in

Attached to Volume Light Shape

Cloud Shape: 3 Spherical Primitives

4 Cloud Types:

- Misty
- Cumulus
- Cirrus
- Implicit



Volumetric Cloud Plug-in: Examples



Plug-in Available

- High End 3D web site rendering (rendering section)
- www.highend3d
- v3 for NT released 5/31/2001



Cloud Dynamics in MEL (Ruchigartha)

Specialized Particle System

Dynamics Simulates

- Buoyant bubbles
- Temperature gradients - controls velocity
- Vortices
- Gravity
- Wind fields



Cloud Dynamics in MEL: Simulation


Particle Emitter

- Numerous settable attributes

Evaluate Forces on Particles

Create Children - Split Particles

Particle Death - Stabilize



Real-time Dense Gases: Issues

Volume Rendering vs. Approximations

Static vs. Dynamic Models

Semi-transparent Volume Accumulation

Illumination

Shadowing

Issues for Real-time Gases: Volume Approximations

Particle Systems – Only Practical for Thin Gases

- No inter-particle illumination, shadowing
- Often simple transparency model (or none) – depth sorted?
- Probabilistic shading and shadowing can be used

Imposters / Billboards – Good for Distant Clouds

- For close-ups and fly-throughs must integrate cloud slabs onto imposter
 - Very time consuming – slows performance
 - Use pre-computed tables to improve performance

Issues for Real-Time Gases: Volume Approximations (cont.)

Textured Ellipsoids – Good for Distant Clouds

- Problem 1: need to handle view dependent illumination and shadowing
- Problem 2: fly-throughs
 - must integrate cloud onto plane that slices through ellipsoid
 - Need to update each frame
 - Very time consuming – slows performance
 - Use pre-computed tables to improve performance

Issues for Real-time Gases: Volume Rendering (Overview)

Hardware Approaches to Real-Time Volume Rendering

- Mitsubishi VolumePro board (>\$5000)
- 3D texture mapping hardware
 - Nvidia GeForce3, ATI Radeon (<\$400)
 - SGI Octane, Onyx, ... (>\$10,000)
- Limited resolution based on board memory
 - 256^3 (64Mb)?

Interactive Software Solutions

- Splatting – Comes closest but is still seconds / frame



Issues for Volumetric Gases: Static Modeling

3D Textures for Gas Density

- Limited by resolution of 3D texture: 256^3 (64Mb)
 - Not a very detailed cloud, want 1000^3 at least
 - What about shadow volume, illumination volumes, etc. => even more memory
- Precision of densities / opacities: Is 8 bits enough?

Global Density Model + Volume Detail Texture (Noise Texture)

- Need dependent texture reads



Issues for Real-time Volumetric Gases: Dynamic Models

Dynamically Change 3D Texture Densities

- Need ability to update portions of 3D textures at 30 fps

Change 3D Texture Indices Algorithmically

- How quick can you change the texture coordinates on the slices?

Use a Changing Smaller Texture to Dynamically Offset the 3D Texture Lookup

Could Generate Geometry on the Fly (Micropolygons)

- Need capability to generate new triangles at the vertex or fragment processing level
 - E.g. from a vertex program on a Nvidia chip

Can use dummy geometry – but no textures in v.p.

Issues for Real-time Volumetric Gases: Opacity Accumulation


Need Exponential Accumulation of Gas Densities:

$$e^{-t \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \text{density}(p) dp}$$

- Most systems use simple linear blend

Can Pre-integrate Accumulated Opacity Within a Slab and Store That in the Texture (e.g., Engel 2001)

- Opacities at front and back plus step size become texture coordinates
- Requires dependent texture read



Courtesy of Klaus Engel, Pre-Integrated Volume Renderer V1.7, 15 Feb, 2001

Issues for Real-time Volumetric Gases: Illumination

How to Simulate Bi-directional Reflection Function for Low-albedo Illumination

- 2D texture maps indexed by eye angle and light angle?
 - Needs dependent texture read

How to Simulate Multiple (High-albedo) Scattering?

- Could use pre-integrated tables
 - Need to change for each move in observer position or light position

Approximation of Isotropic Particle Scattering

- Only dependent on light direction

Issues for Real-time Volumetric Gases: Shadowing

How to Compute Real-time Shadows?

- 2D real-time shadow mapping
 - Only would work for shadowing onto objects, not self-shadowing
 - Problem with transparent objects
- Could create 3D shadow table using texture sliced renderer from direction of eye point
 - Cuts frame rate approximately 25-50% depending on accuracy desired
- Projected imposters to form shadow texture (Dobashi 2000)

What's Now Available for PC Graphics?

3D Textures - (e.g., ATI, 3dfx, Nvidia, X-box)

Programmable Vertex Shading (e.g., GeForce2, GeForce3)


Dependent Texture Reads (e.g., ATI Radeon, GeForce3)

Programmable Pixel Shading (e.g., GeForce3)

What's Now Available for PC Graphics?

Stanford Real-Time Programmable Shading Language (Mark, Proudfoot, Hanrahan)

- Great for real-time programmable shader development and volume shading design
- Re-targetable compiler to optimize passes through graphics pipeline
- Between OpenGL and Renderman



Hardware Issues With New Advances

How Much Flexibility in the New Programmability?

- Can you add, subtract, multiply, divide?
- Are conditionals allowed?
- How big is the temporary storage?
 - Can you do noise tables?
- Can you use 3D textures just like 2D textures in dependent reads?
- Any order of operations imposed by the hardware (implementation gotcha)?
- What operations are allowed in each part of the pipeline?

Hardware Issues With New Advances (cont.)

What Is the Range of the Values for Each Operation?

- 0 to 255, -255 to 255, fixed point, float

What Is the Precision?

- 8-bit, 9-bit, 12 bit, 16 bit?
 - Affects complexity of operations that can be performed before quantization errors are visible
- How does the precision vary at different stages of pipeline?
 - E.g., Geforce 3 pixel shaders are floating point, but textures are 8-bit and combiners are 9-bit

Conclusion

Procedural Modeling and Animation is :

Powerful
Flexible
Extensible

Conclusion

Important Aspects

- Flexible volume modeling system
- Accurate illumination and shadowing

Procedural Modeling

- Particle systems, L-systems, blobs can be included
- Flexible, turbulent volume modeling

Conclusion

Volumetric Procedural Implicit Cloud Modeling

- Ease of control and specification of implicits
- Smooth blending
- Natural appearance from turbulence simulation
- Procedural abstraction
- Parametric control

Conclusion

Real-time Gases Are On the Horizon

- Latest programmability and capabilities of PC hardware enables a vast array of techniques
- Procedural techniques are well suited for new hardware
 - *Eliminate the data transfer bottleneck*

Future Goal

- Download procedural cloud to GPU and generate geometry and render on the fly

Acknowledgements

Collaborators:

- RTSL: Bill Mark, Kekoa Proudfoot, Pat Hanrahan
- Klaus Engel, Rick Parent, Steve May
- Students: Marlin Rowley, Vlad Korolev, Ruchigartha

Funding: NSF, NASA, DoD, Electronic Arts